

COMMISSIONERS
DOUG LITTLE – Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
TOM FORESE
ANDY TOBIN



0000171292

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RECEIVED

June 24, 2016 **ORIGINAL**

2016 JUN 27 P 12:57

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

To: Docket Control

RE: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. – Customer Comments

Docket No: E-01575A-15-0312

Please docket the attached 6 customer comments opposing the above filed case.

Customer comments can be reviewed in E-docket under the above docket number.

Filed by: Utilities Division – Consumer Services

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

JUN 27 2016

DOCKETED BY *[Signature]*

E-01575A-15-0312

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Trish Meeter	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 6/21/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 132448	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 6/21/2016 9:14 AM	
Rate Case Items - Solar Opposed		

First Name: Charles	Last Name: Miller	Account Name: Charles Miller
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>		
City: Sun City	State: AZ	Zip Code: 85374

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Division: Electric
---	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312

From:

Sent: Friday, June 17, 2016 9:50 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

Charles Miller

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

E-01575A-15-0312

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Trish Meeter	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 6/21/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 132446	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 6/21/2016 9:04 AM	
Rate Case Items - Solar Opposed		

First Name: Samuel	Last Name: Fassler	Account Name: Samuel Fassler
---------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------------

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Goodyear	State: AZ	Zip Code: 85395
-----------------------	------------------	------------------------

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Division: Electric
---	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312

From: <<< REDACTED >>>

Sent: Friday, June 17, 2016 9:50 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

Samuel Fassler

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

Investigation

E-01575A-15-0312

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Mary Mee	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 6/20/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 132436	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 6/20/2016 4:44 PM	
Rate Case Items - Solar Opposed		

First Name: LK	Last Name: Korman	Account Name: Lk Korman
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>		
City: Sun City West	State: AZ	Zip Code: 85375
Email: <<< REDACTED >>>		

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Division: Electric
---	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312	Docket Position: Against
--	---------------------------------

Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2016 7:32 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

LK Korman

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:

E-01575A-15-0312

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

6/20/2016

Mary Mee

Email

Investigation

Comments noted for the record and docketed. CLOSED

E-01575A-15-0312

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Mary Mee	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 6/20/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 132437	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 6/20/2016 4:52 PM	
Rate Case Items - Solar Opposed		

First Name: Cynthia	Last Name: Strong	Account Name: Cynthia Strong
Address:		
City:	State:	Zip Code:
Email: <<< REDACTED >>>		

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Division: Electric
---	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312	Docket Position: Against
--	---------------------------------

Sent: Sunday, June 19, 2016 2:22 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

Cynthia Strong

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

Date:	Analyst:	Investigation Submitted By:	Type:
--------------	-----------------	--	--------------

E-01575A-15-0312

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

6/20/2016

Mary Mee

Email

Investigation

Comments noted for the record and docketed. CLOSED

Arizona Corporation Commission

Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Mary Mee **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Complaint Date:** 6/20/2016
Complaint Number: 2016 - 132439 **Priority:** Respond within 5 business days
Complaint Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed **Closed Date:** 6/20/2016 4:50 PM
Rate Case Items - Solar Opposed

First Name: Marilyn **Last Name:** Wallace **Account Name:** Marilyn Wallace
Address:
City: **State:** **Zip Code:**
Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. **Division:** Electric

Nature Of Complaint

Sent: Sunday, June 19, 2016 2:38 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

marilyn wallace

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
6/20/2016	Mary Mee	Email	Investigation

Arizona Corporation Commission

Utilities Complaint Form

Comments entered for the record and docketed. CLOSED

E-01575A-15-0312

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Michael Buck	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 6/24/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 132564	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 6/24/2016 10:41 AM	

First Name: Yvonne	Last Name: Ochse	Account Name: Yvonne Ochse
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>		
City: Sierra Vista	State: AZ	Zip Code: 85635
Email: <<< REDACTED >>>		

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Division: Electric
---	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312	Docket Position: Against
--	---------------------------------

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

Yvonne Ochse

<<< REDACTED >>>

Sierra Vista, AZ 85635

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
6/24/2016	Michael Buck	Telephone	Investigation

Noted for the record and docketed. Closed.
